

nlmrt-vignette

John C. Nash

December 16, 2012

Background

This vignette discusses the R package `nlmrt`, that aims to provide computationally robust tools for nonlinear least squares problems. Note that R already has the `nls()` function to solve nonlinear least squares problems, and this function has a large repertoire of tools for such problems. However, it is specifically NOT indicated for problems where the residuals are small or zero. Furthermore, it frequently fails to find a solution if starting parameters are provided that are not close enough to a solution. The tools of `nlmrt` are very much intended to cope with both these issues.

The functions are also intended to provide stronger support for bounds constraints and to introduce the capability for **masks**, that is, parameters that are fixed for a given run of the function.

`nlmrt` tools generally do not return the large `nls`-style object. However, we do provide a tool `wrapnls` that will run either `nlxb` followed by a call to `nls`. The call to `nls` is adjusted to use the `port` algorithm if there are bounds constraints.

1 An example problem and its solution

Let us try an example initially presented by [5] and developed by [2]. This is a model for the regrowth of pasture. We set up the computation by putting the data for the problem in a data frame, and specifying the formula for the model. This can be as a formula object, but I have found that saving it as a character string seems to give fewer difficulties. Note the “~” that implies “is modeled by”. There must be such an element in the formula for this package (and for `nls()`). We also specify two sets of starting parameters, that is, the `ones` which is a trivial (but possibly unsuitable) start with all parameters set to 1, and `huetstart` which was suggested in [2]. Finally we load the routines in the package `nlmrt`.

```
> options(width=60)
> pastured <- data.frame(
+ time=c(9, 14, 21, 28, 42, 57, 63, 70, 79),
```

```

+ yield= c(8.93, 10.8, 18.59, 22.33, 39.35,
+         56.11, 61.73, 64.62, 67.08))
> regmod <- "yield ~ t1 - t2*exp(-exp(t3+t4*log(time)))"
> ones <- c(t1=1, t2=1, t3=1, t4=1) # all ones start
> huetstart <- c(t1=70, t2=60, t3=0, t4=1)
> require(nlmrt)

```

Let us now call the routine `nlsmnqb` (even though we are not specifying bounds). We try both starts.

```

> anmrt <- nlxb(regmod, start=ones, trace=FALSE, data=pastured)
> print(anmrt)
nlmrt class object: anmrt
  name      coeff
t1         69.95518
t2         61.68144
t3         -9.208935
t4          2.377819
ssquares =  8.375884

> anmrtn <- try(nlxb(regmod, start=huetstart, trace=FALSE, data=pastured))
> print(strwrap(anmrtn))
[1] "c(0.480699475363011, 0.669309701358056,"
[2] "-2.2843264997256, 0.843738461647447,"
[3] "0.734575256471039, 0.0665546614142585,"
[4] "-0.985808933614244, -0.0250584605457078,"
[5] "0.500316337496372)"
[6] "c(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -0.981567160413867,"
[7] "-0.948192289392301, -0.869783557149564,"
[8] "-0.758436212538581, -0.484272123695007,"
[9] "-0.223383622151649, -0.149331587451564,"
[10] "-0.0869019449908223, -0.038502059681048,"
[11] "1.1264204328104, 3.11132895594448, 7.4846898886384,"
[12] "12.9349083329465, 21.6594224106081, 20.652293672155,"
[13] "17.5154858720295, 13.0949252934105, 7.73503097115509,"
[14] "2.47499865938471, 8.21097548602948, 22.7873063053612,"
[15] "43.101759885747, 80.955765093696, 83.4982821148977,"
[16] "72.5690177660256, 55.6337278040464, 33.7978144656795)"
[17] "63"
[18] "40"
[19] "c(69.9551789618361, 61.6814436425778,"
[20] "-9.20893535473058, 2.37781880002959)"
[21] "8.37588355893791"
[22] "c(-Inf, -Inf, -Inf, -Inf)"
[23] "c(Inf, Inf, Inf, Inf)"
[24] "integer(0)"

```

Note that the standard `nls()` of R fails to find a solution from either start.

```
> anls <- try(nls(regmod, start=ones, trace=FALSE, data=pastured))
> print(strwrap(anls))
[1] "Error in nlsModel(formula, mf, start, wts) : singular"
[2] "gradient matrix at initial parameter estimates"

> anlsx <- try(nls(regmod, start=huetstart, trace=FALSE, data=pastured))
> print(strwrap(anlsx))
[1] "Error in nls(regmod, start = huetstart, trace ="
[2] "FALSE, data = pastured) : singular gradient"
```

In both cases, the `nls()` failed with a 'singular gradient'. This implies the Jacobian is effectively singular at some point. The Levenberg-Marquardt stabilization used in `nlxb` avoids this particular issue by augmenting the Jacobian until it is non-singular. The details of this common approach may be found elsewhere [4, Algorithm 23].

There are some other tools for R that aim to solve nonlinear least squares problems. We have not yet been able to successfully use the INRA package `nls2`. This is a quite complicated package and is not installable as a regular R package using `install.packages()`. Note that there is a very different package by the same name on CRAN by Gabor Grothendieck.

2 The nls solution

We can call `nls` after getting a potential nonlinear least squares solution using `nlxb`. Package `nlmrt` has function `wrapnls` to allow this to be carried out automatically. Thus,

```
> awnls <- wrapnls(regmod, start=ones, data=pastured)
> print(awnls)
Nonlinear regression model
model: yield ~ t1 - t2 * exp(-exp(t3 + t4 * log(time)))
data: data
      t1      t2      t3      t4
69.955 61.681 -9.209  2.378
residual sum-of-squares: 8.376

Number of iterations to convergence: 0
Achieved convergence tolerance: 7.146e-08

> cat("Note that the above is just the nls() summary result.\n")
Note that the above is just the nls() summary result.
```

3 Problems specified by residual functions

The model expressions in R, such as

```
yield ~ t1 - t2*exp(-exp(t3+t4*log(time)))
```

are an extremely helpful feature of the language. Moreover, they are used to compute symbolic or automatic derivatives, so we do not have to rely on numerical approximations for the Jacobian of the nonlinear least squares problem. However, there are many situations where the expression structure is not flexible enough to allow us to define our residuals, or where the construction of the residuals is simply too complicated. In such cases it is helpful to have tools that work with R functions.

Once we have an R function for the residuals, we can use the safeguarded Marquardt routine `nlfb` from package `nlmrt` or else the routine `nls.lm` from package `minpack.lm` [1]. The latter is built on the Minpack Fortran codes of [3] implemented by Kate Mullen. `nlfb` is written entirely in R, and is intended to be quite aggressive in ensuring it finds a good minimum. Thus these two approaches have somewhat different characteristics.

Let us consider a slightly different problem, called WEEDS. Here the objective is to model a set of 12 data points (density y of weeds at annual time points tt) versus the time index. (A minor note: use of `t` rather than `tt` in R may encourage confusion with the transpose function `t()`, so I tend to avoid plain `t`.) The model suggested was a 3-parameter logistic function,

$$y_{model} = b_1 / (1 + b_2 \exp(-b_3 tt))$$

and while it is possible to use this formulation, a scaled version gives slightly better results

$$y_{model} = 100b_1 / (1 + 10b_2 \exp(-0.1b_3 tt))$$

The residuals for this latter model (in form "model" minus "data") are coded in R in the following code chunk in the function `shobbs.res`. We have also coded the Jacobian for this model as `shobbs.jac`

```
> shobbs.res <- function(x){ # scaled Hobbs weeds problem -- residual
+ # This variant uses looping
+   if(length(x) != 3) stop("shobbs.res -- parameter vector n!=3")
+   y <- c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443, 38.558, 50.156, 62.948,
+         75.995, 91.972)
+   tt <- 1:12
+   res <- 100.0*x[1]/(1+x[2]*10.*exp(-0.1*x[3]*tt)) - y
+ }
> shobbs.jac <- function(x) { # scaled Hobbs weeds problem -- Jacobian
+   jj <- matrix(0.0, 12, 3)
+   tt <- 1:12
+   yy <- exp(-0.1*x[3]*tt) # We don't need data for the Jacobian
+   zz <- 100.0/(1+10.*x[2]*yy)
+   jj[tt,1] <- zz
+   jj[tt,2] <- -0.1*x[1]*zz*zz*yy
+   jj[tt,3] <- 0.01*x[1]*zz*zz*yy*x[2]*tt
+   return(jj)
+ }
```

With package `nlmrt`, function `nlfb` can be used to estimate the parameters

of the WEEDS problem as follows, where we use the naive starting point where all parameters are 1.

```
> st <- c(b1=1, b2=1, b3=1)
> ans1 <- nlfb(st, shobbs.res, shobbs.jac, trace=FALSE)
> print(ans1)
nlmrt class object: ans1
  name      coeff
b1      1.961863
b2      4.909164
b3      3.135697
ssquares = 2.587277
```

This works very well, with almost identical iterates as given by `nlxb`. (Since the algorithms are the same, this should be the case.) Note that we turn off the `trace` output. There is also the possibility of interrupting the iterations to `watch` the progress. Changing the value of `watch` in the call to `nlfb` below allows this. In this code chunk, we use an internal numerical approximation to the Jacobian.

```
> cat("No jacobian function -- use internal approximation\n")
No jacobian function -- use internal approximation
> ans1n <- nlfb(st, shobbs.res, trace=FALSE, control=list(watch=FALSE)) # NO jacfn
> print(ans1n)
nlmrt class object: ans1n
  name      coeff
b1      1.961863
b2      4.909164
b3      3.135697
ssquares = 2.587277
```

Note that we could also form the sum of squares function and the gradient and use a function minimization code. The next code block shows how this is done, creating the sum of squares function and its gradient, then using the `optimx` package to call a number of minimizers simultaneously.

```
> shobbs.f <- function(x){
+   res <- shobbs.res(x)
+   as.numeric(crossprod(res))
+ }
> shobbs.g <- function(x){
+   res <- shobbs.res(x) # This is NOT efficient -- we generally have res already calculated
+   JJ <- shobbs.jac(x)
+   2.0*as.vector(crossprod(JJ,res))
+ }
> require(optimx)
> aopx <- optimx(st, shobbs.f, shobbs.g, control=list(all.methods=TRUE))
> optansout(aopx, NULL) # no file output
               par
2 1.911970, 4.824645, 3.158550
3 1.964498, 4.911596, 3.133976
7 1.961833, 4.909121, 3.135712
```

```

5 1.961867, 4.909168, 3.135695
12 1.961859, 4.909159, 3.135699
1 1.961863, 4.909165, 3.135698
11 1.961863, 4.909164, 3.135697
9 1.961863, 4.909164, 3.135697
4 1.961863, 4.909164, 3.135697
6 1.961863, 4.909164, 3.135697
8 1.961863, 4.909164, 3.135697
10 1.961863, 4.909164, 3.135697
      fvalues      method fns grs itns conv KKT1 KKT2 xtimes
2 2.667899          CG 427 101 NULL 1 FALSE TRUE 0.028
3 2.587651 Nelder-Mead 196 NA NULL 0 FALSE TRUE 0.012
7 2.587277          spg 188 NA 150 0 TRUE TRUE 0.072
5 2.587277          nlm NA NA 50 0 TRUE TRUE 0.008
12 2.587277        bobyqa 626 NA NULL 0 TRUE TRUE 0.04
1 2.587277         BFGS 119 36 NULL 0 TRUE TRUE 0.008
11 2.587277        newuoa 357 NA NULL 0 TRUE TRUE 0.02
9 2.587277         Rcgmin 147 56 NULL 0 TRUE TRUE 0.024
4 2.587277    L-BFGS-B 41 41 NULL 0 TRUE TRUE 0.008
6 2.587277      nlminb 31 29 28 0 TRUE TRUE 0.008
8 2.587277      ucminf 45 45 NULL 0 TRUE TRUE 0.008
10 2.587277      Rvmmin 148 57 NULL 0 TRUE TRUE 0.036
[1] TRUE

> cat("\nNow with numerical gradient approximation or derivative free methods\n")
Now with numerical gradient approximation or derivative free methods

> aopxn <- optimx(st, shobbs.f, control=list(all.methods=TRUE))
function(x){
  res <- shobbs.res(x)
  as.numeric(crossprod(res))
}

> optansout(aopxn, NULL) # no file output
      par
2 1.799605, 4.596698, 3.207880
3 1.964498, 4.911596, 3.133976
8 1.961940, 4.909044, 3.135611
1 1.961897, 4.909192, 3.135676
10 1.961870, 4.909152, 3.135689
4 1.961876, 4.909172, 3.135688
7 1.961858, 4.909149, 3.135698
5 1.961867, 4.909168, 3.135695
12 1.961859, 4.909159, 3.135699
11 1.961863, 4.909164, 3.135697
9 1.961863, 4.909164, 3.135697
6 1.961863, 4.909164, 3.135697
      fvalues      method fns grs itns conv KKT1 KKT2 xtimes
2 3.832899          CG 413 101 NULL 1 FALSE TRUE 0.04
3 2.587651 Nelder-Mead 196 NA NULL 0 FALSE TRUE 0.008
8 2.587278      ucminf 45 45 NULL 0 FALSE TRUE 0.008
1 2.587277         BFGS 118 36 NULL 0 TRUE TRUE 0.016
10 2.587277      Rvmmin 158 51 NULL 0 TRUE TRUE 0.044
4 2.587277    L-BFGS-B 45 45 NULL 0 TRUE TRUE 0.012
7 2.587277          spg 184 NA 153 0 TRUE TRUE 0.084
5 2.587277          nlm NA NA 50 0 TRUE TRUE 0.008
12 2.587277        bobyqa 626 NA NULL 0 TRUE TRUE 0.04
11 2.587277        newuoa 357 NA NULL 0 TRUE TRUE 0.02
9 2.587277         Rcgmin 135 50 NULL 0 TRUE TRUE 0.152
6 2.587277      nlminb 32 93 27 0 TRUE TRUE 0.008
[1] TRUE

```

We see that most of the minimizers work with either the analytic or approximated gradient. The 'CG' option of function `optim()` does not do very well in either case. As the author of the original step and description and then Turbo Pascal code, I can say I was never very happy with this method and replaced it

recently with `Rcgmin` from the package of the same name, in the process adding the possibility of bounds or masks constraints.

4 Converting an expression to a function

Clearly if we have an expression, it would be nice to be able to automatically convert this to a function, if possible also getting the derivatives. Indeed, it is possible to convert an expression to a function, and there are several ways to do this (references??). In package `nlmrt` we provide the tools `model2grfun.R`, `model2jacfun.R`, `model2resfun.R`, and `model2ssfun.R` to convert a model expression to a function to compute the gradient, Jacobian, residuals or sum of squares functions respectively. We do not provide any tool for converting a function for the residuals back to an expression, as functions can use structures that are not easily expressed as R expressions.

Below are code chunks to illustrate the generation of the residual, sum of squares, Jacobian and gradient code for the Ratkowsky problem used earlier in the vignette. The commented-out first line shows how we would use one of these function generators to output the function to a file named "testresfn.R". However, it is not necessary to generate the file.

First, let us generate the residuals. We must supply the names of the parameters, and do this via the starting vector of parameters `ones`. The actual values are not needed by `model2resfun`, just the names. Other names are drawn from the variables used in the model expression `regmod`.

```
> # jres <- model2resfun(regmod, ones, funname="myxres", file="testresfn.R")
> jres <- model2resfun(regmod, ones)
> print(jres)
function (prm, yield = NULL, time = NULL)
{
  t1 <- prm[[1]]
  t2 <- prm[[2]]
  t3 <- prm[[3]]
  t4 <- prm[[4]]
  resids <- as.numeric(eval(t1 - t2 * exp(-exp(t3 + t4 * log(time))) -
    yield))
}
<environment: 0x3d326b8>
> valjres <- jres(ones, yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> cat("valjres:")
valjres:
> print(valjres)
[1] -7.93 -9.80 -17.59 -21.33 -38.35 -55.11 -60.73 -63.62
[9] -66.08
```

Now let us also generate the Jacobian and test it using the numerical approximations from package `numDeriv`.

```
> jjac <- model2jacfun(regmod, ones)
> print(jjac)
```

```

function (prm, yield = NULL, time = NULL)
{
  t1 <- prm[[1]]
  t2 <- prm[[2]]
  t3 <- prm[[3]]
  t4 <- prm[[4]]
  localdf <- data.frame(yield, time)
  jstruc <- with(localdf, eval({
    .expr1 <- log(time)
    .expr4 <- exp(t3 + t4 * .expr1)
    .expr6 <- exp(-.expr4)
    .value <- t1 - t2 * .expr6 - yield
    .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 4), list(NULL, c("t1",
      "t2", "t3", "t4")))
    .grad[, "t1"] <- 1
    .grad[, "t2"] <- -.expr6
    .grad[, "t3"] <- t2 * (.expr6 * .expr4)
    .grad[, "t4"] <- t2 * (.expr6 * (.expr4 * .expr1))
    attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad
    .value
  })))
  jacmat <- attr(jstruc, "gradient")
  return(jacmat)
}
<environment: 0x3e9c128>

> # Note that we now need some data!
> valjjac <- jjac(ones, yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> cat("valjac:")
valjac:
> print(valjjac)
      t1      t2      t3      t4
[1,] 1 -2.372394e-11 5.803952e-10 1.275259e-09
[2,] 1 -2.968334e-17 1.129628e-15 2.981152e-15
[3,] 1 -1.617220e-25 9.231728e-24 2.810620e-23
[4,] 1 -8.811009e-34 6.706226e-32 2.234652e-31
[5,] 1 -2.615402e-50 2.985948e-48 1.116049e-47
[6,] 1 -5.122907e-68 7.937538e-66 3.209187e-65
[7,] 1 -4.229682e-75 7.243404e-73 3.001040e-72
[8,] 1 -2.304433e-83 4.384869e-81 1.862910e-80
[9,] 1 -5.467023e-94 1.174012e-91 5.129784e-91

> # Now compute the numerical approximation
> Jn <- jacobian(jres, ones, , yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> cat("maxabsdiff=",max(abs(Jn-valjjac)),"\n")
maxabsdiff= 3.774395e-10

```

As with the WEEDS problem, we can compute the sum of squares function and the gradient.

```

> ssfn <- model2ssfun(regmod, ones) # problem getting the data attached!
> print(ssfn)
function (prm, yield = NULL, time = NULL)
{
  t1 <- prm[[1]]
  t2 <- prm[[2]]
  t3 <- prm[[3]]
  t4 <- prm[[4]]
  resids <- as.numeric(eval(t1 - t2 * exp(-exp(t3 + t4 * log(time))) -
    yield))
  ss <- as.numeric(crossprod(resids))
}
<environment: 0x4097418>

```



```

> valss <- ssfn(ones, yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> cat("valss: ",valss,"\n")
valss: 17533.34

> grfn <- model2grfun(regmod, ones) # problem getting the data attached!
> print(grfn)
function (prm, yield = NULL, time = NULL)
{
  t1 <- prm[[1]]
  t2 <- prm[[2]]
  t3 <- prm[[3]]
  t4 <- prm[[4]]
  localdf <- data.frame(yield, time)
  jstruc <- with(localdf, eval({
    .expr1 <- log(time)
    .expr4 <- exp(t3 + t4 * .expr1)
    .expr6 <- exp(-.expr4)
    .value <- t1 - t2 * .expr6 - yield
    .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 4), list(NULL, c("t1",
      "t2", "t3", "t4")))
    .grad[, "t1"] <- 1
    .grad[, "t2"] <- -.expr6
    .grad[, "t3"] <- t2 * (.expr6 * .expr4)
    .grad[, "t4"] <- t2 * (.expr6 * (.expr4 * .expr1))
    attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad
    .value
  })))
  jacmat <- attr(jstruc, "gradient")
  resid <- as.numeric(eval(t1 - t2 * exp(-exp(t3 + t4 * log(time))) -
    yield))
  grj <- as.vector(2 * crossprod(jacmat, resid))
}
<environment: 0x4125838>

> valgr <- grfn(ones, yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> cat("valgr:")
valgr:
> print(valgr)
[1] -6.810800e+02  3.762623e-10 -9.205090e-09 -2.022566e-08

> gn <- grad(ssfn, ones, yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> cat("maxabsdiff=",max(abs(gn-valgr)), "\n")
maxabsdiff= 1.437627e-07

```

Moreover, we can use the Huet starting parameters as a double check on our conversion of the expression to various optimization-style functions.

```

> cat("\n\nHuetstart:")
Huetstart:
> print(huetstart)
t1 t2 t3 t4
70 60 0 1

> valjres <- jres(huetstart, yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> cat("valjres:")
valjres:
> print(valjres)
[1] 61.06260 59.19995 51.41000 47.67000 30.65000 13.89000
[7] 8.27000 5.38000 2.92000

```

```

> valss <- ssfn(huetstart, yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> cat("valss:", valss, "\n")
valss: 13386.91
> valjjac <- jjac(huetstart, yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> cat("valjac:")
valjac:
> print(valjjac)
      t1      t2      t3      t4
[1,] 1 -1.234098e-04 6.664129e-02 1.464259e-01
[2,] 1 -8.315287e-07 6.984841e-04 1.843340e-03
[3,] 1 -7.582560e-10 9.554026e-07 2.908745e-06
[4,] 1 -6.914400e-13 1.161619e-09 3.870753e-09
[5,] 1 -5.749522e-19 1.448880e-15 5.415433e-15
[6,] 1 -1.758792e-25 6.015069e-22 2.431923e-21
[7,] 1 -4.359610e-28 1.647933e-24 6.827607e-24
[8,] 1 -3.975450e-31 1.669689e-27 7.093665e-27
[9,] 1 -4.906095e-35 2.325489e-31 1.016110e-30
> Jn <- jacobian(jres, huetstart, , yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> cat("maxabsdiff=",max(abs(Jn-valjjac)), "\n")
maxabsdiff= 5.394534e-10
> valgr <- grfn(huetstart, yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> cat("valgr:")
valgr:
> print(valgr)
[1] 560.90509095 -0.01516998 8.22137957 18.10084037
> gn <- grad(ssfn, huetstart, yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> cat("maxabsdiff=",max(abs(gn-valgr)), "\n")
maxabsdiff= 4.763608e-08

```

Now that we have these functions, let us apply them with `nlfb`.

```

> cat("All ones to start\n")
All ones to start
> anlfb <- nlfb(ones, jres, jjac, trace=FALSE, yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> print(strwrap(anlfb))
[1] "c(0.480699475008556, 0.669309701209176,"
[2] "-2.284326499589, 0.843738461963021,"
[3] "0.734575256700772, 0.066554661352157,"
[4] "-0.985808933698991, -0.0250584605708042,"
[5] "0.500316337630295)"
[6] "c(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -0.981567160411179,"
[7] "-0.948192289386861, -0.86978355714086,"
[8] "-0.758436212528913, -0.484272123691502,"
[9] "-0.223383622157416, -0.149331587458987,"
[10] "-0.0869019449983643, -0.0385020596868543,"
[11] "1.12642043299274, 3.11132895631633, 7.48468988923063,"
[12] "12.934908333603, 21.6594224110445, 20.6522936726918,"
[13] "17.5154858727471, 13.0949252943097, 7.73503097209803,"
[14] "2.47499865978535, 8.21097548701081, 22.7873063071643,"
[15] "43.1017598879348, 80.95765095327, 83.4982821170682,"
[16] "72.5690177689987, 55.6337278078665, 33.7978144697997)"
[17] "74"
[18] "48"
[19] "c(69.9551789623695, 61.6814436436512,"
[20] "-9.20893535438764, 2.37781879994051)"
[21] "8.37588355893791"
[22] "c(-Inf, -Inf, -Inf, -Inf)"
[23] "c(Inf, Inf, Inf, Inf)"
[24] "NULL"

```

```

> cat("Huet start\n")
Huet start
> anlfbh <- nlfb(huetstart, jres, jjac, trace=FALSE, yield=pastured$yield, time=pastured$time)
> print(strwrap(anlfbh))
[1] "c(0.480699476113728, 0.669309701593502,"
[2] "-2.28432650016846, 0.843738460842765,"
[3] "0.73457525611267, 0.066554661858703,"
[4] "-0.985808933165735, -0.025058460345619,"
[5] "0.500316337155951)"
[6] "c(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -0.981567160420815,"
[7] "-0.948192289406077, -0.869783557170755,"
[8] "-0.758436212560549, -0.484272123697212,"
[9] "-0.223383622128918, -0.149331587425384,"
[10] "-0.0869019449658163, -0.0385020596625934,"
[11] "1.12642043233839, 3.1113289549978, 7.4846898871717,"
[12] "12.9349083313751, 21.6594224095811, 20.6522936705119,"
[13] "17.5154858697732, 13.0949252905865, 7.73503096823245,"
[14] "2.47499865834761, 8.21097548353111, 22.7873063008958,"
[15] "43.1017598805108, 80.9557650898571, 83.4982821082544,"
[16] "72.5690177566775, 55.6337277920487, 33.7978144529092)"
[17] "61"
[18] "40"
[19] "c(69.9551789602489, 61.6814436397594,"
[20] "-9.20893535564465, 2.37781880027247)"
[21] "8.37588355893795"
[22] "c(-Inf, -Inf, -Inf, -Inf)"
[23] "c(Inf, Inf, Inf, Inf)"
[24] "NULL"

```

5 Using bounds and masks

The manual for `nls()` tells us that bounds are restricted to the 'port' algorithm.

lower, upper: vectors of lower and upper bounds, replicated to be as long as 'start'. If unspecified, all parameters are assumed to be unconstrained. Bounds can only be used with the 'port' algorithm. They are ignored, with a warning, if given for other algorithms.

Later in the manual, there is the discomfoting warning:

The 'algorithm = "port"' code appears unfinished, and does not even check that the starting value is within the bounds. Use with caution, especially where bounds are supplied.

We will base the rest of this discussion on the examples in `man/nlmrt-package.Rd`, and use an unscaled version of the WEEDS problem.

First, let us estimate the model with no constraints.

```

> require(nlmrt)
> # Data for Hobbs problem
> ydat <- c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443,
+          38.558, 50.156, 62.948, 75.995, 91.972)

```

```

> tdat <- 1:length(ydat)
> weeddata1 <- data.frame(y=ydat, tt=tdat)
> start1 <- c(b1=1, b2=1, b3=1) # name parameters for nlxb, nls, wrapnls.
> eunsc <- y ~ b1/(1+b2*exp(-b3*tt))
> anlxb1 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=start1, data=weeddata1))
> print(anlxb1)
nlmrt class object: anlxb1
  name      coeff
b1      196.1863
b2      49.09164
b3       0.3135697
ssquares = 2.587277

```

Now let us see if we can apply bounds. Note that we name the parameters in the vectors for the bounds. First we apply bounds that are NOT active at the unconstrained solution.

```

> # WITH BOUNDS
> startf1 <- c(b1=1, b2=1, b3=.1) # a feasible start when b3 <= 0.25
> anlxb1 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=startf1, lower=c(b1=0, b2=0, b3=0),
+               upper=c(b1=500, b2=100, b3=5), data=weeddata1))
> print(anlxb1)
nlmrt class object: anlxb1
  name      coeff
b1      196.1863
b2      49.09164
b3       0.3135697
ssquares = 2.587277

```

We note that `nls()` also solves this case.

```

> anlsb1 <- try(nls(eunsc, start=startf1, lower=c(b1=0, b2=0, b3=0),
+               upper=c(b1=500, b2=100, b3=5), data=weeddata1, algorithm='port'))
> print(anlsb1)
Nonlinear regression model
model: y ~ b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
data: weeddata1
      b1      b2      b3
196.1863 49.0916 0.3136
residual sum-of-squares: 2.587

Algorithm "port", convergence message: relative convergence (4)

```

Now we will change the bounds so the start is infeasible.

```

> ## Uncon solution has bounds ACTIVE. Infeasible start
> anlxb2i <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=start1, lower=c(b1=0, b2=0, b3=0),
+               upper=c(b1=500, b2=100, b3=.25), data=weeddata1))
> print(anlxb2i)
[1] "Error in nlxb(eunsc, start = start1, lower = c(b1 = 0, b2 = 0, b3 = 0), : \n Infeasible start\n"
attr(,"class")
[1] "try-error"
attr(,"condition")
<simpleError in nlxb(eunsc, start = start1, lower = c(b1 = 0, b2 = 0, b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25), data=

```

```

> anlsb2i <- try(nls(eunsc, start=start1, lower=c(b1=0, b2=0, b3=0),
+               upper=c(b1=500, b2=100, b3=.25), data=weeddata1, algorithm='port'))
> print(anlsb2i)
[i] "Error in nls(eunsc, start = start1, lower = c(b1 = 0, b2 = 0, b3 = 0), : \n Convergence failure: initial par violates constraints"
attr(,"class")
[i] "try-error"
attr(,"condition")
<simpleError in nls(eunsc, start = start1, lower = c(b1 = 0, b2 = 0, b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25), data = weeddata1) : Convergence failure: initial par violates constraints"

```

Both `nlxb()` and `nls()` (with 'port') do the right thing and refuse to proceed. There is a minor "glitch" in the output processing of both `knitr` and `Sweave` here. Let us start them off properly and see what they accomplish.

```

> ## Uncon solution has bounds ACTIVE. Feasible start
> anlxb2f <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=startf1, lower=c(b1=0, b2=0, b3=0),
+               upper=c(b1=500, b2=100, b3=.25), data=weeddata1))
> print(anlxb2f)
nlmrt class object: anlxb2f
  name      coeff
b1         500    U
b2        87.94248
b3         0.25    U
ssquares = 29.99273

> anlsb2f <- try(nls(eunsc, start=startf1, lower=c(b1=0, b2=0, b3=0),
+               upper=c(b1=500, b2=100, b3=.25), data=weeddata1, algorithm='port'))
> print(anlsb2f)
Nonlinear regression model
model: y ~ b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
data: weeddata1
      b1      b2      b3
500.00 87.94  0.25
residual sum-of-squares: 29.99

Algorithm "port", convergence message: both X-convergence and relative convergence (5)

```

Both methods get essentially the same answer for the bounded problem, and this solution has parameters `b1` and `b3` at their upper bounds. The Jacobian elements for these parameters are zero as returned by `nlxb()`.

Let us now turn to **masks**, which functions from `nlmrt` are designed to handle. Masks are also available with packages `Rcgmin` and `Rvmmmin`. I would like to hear if other packages offer this capability.

```

> ## TEST MASKS
> anlsmnqm <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=start1, lower=c(b1=0, b2=0, b3=0),
+               upper=c(b1=500, b2=100, b3=5), masked=c("b2"), data=weeddata1))
> print(anlsmnqm) # b2 masked
nlmrt class object: anlsmnqm
  name      coeff
b1         50.40179
b2              1    M
b3         0.1986149
ssquares = 6181.193

> an1qm3 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=start1, data=weeddata1, masked=c("b3")))
> print(an1qm3) # b3 masked

```

```

nlmrt class object: an1qm3
  name      coeff
b1          78.57085
b2          2293.947
b3              1    M
ssquares = 1031.011

> # Note that the parameters are put in out of order to test code.
> an1qm123 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=start1, data=weeddata1, masked=c("b2","b1","b3")))
> print(an1qm123) # ALL masked - fails!!
[1] "Error in nlxb(eunsc, start = start1, data = weeddata1, masked = c(\"b2\", : \n All parameters are masked\n"
attr(,"class")
[1] "try-error"
attr(,"condition")
<simpleError in nlxb(eunsc, start = start1, data = weeddata1, masked = c("b2", "b1", "b3")): All parameters are masked>

```

Finally (for nlxb) we combine the bounds and mask.

```

> ## BOUNDS and MASK
> an1qbm2 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=startf1, data=weeddata1,
+   lower=c(0,0,0), upper=c(200, 60, .3), masked=c("b2")))
> print(an1qbm2)
nlmrt class object: an1qbm2
  name      coeff
b1          50.40179
b2              1    M
b3          0.1986149
ssquares = 6181.193

> an1qbm2x <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=startf1, data=weeddata1,
+   lower=c(0,0,0), upper=c(48, 60, .3), masked=c("b2")))
> print(an1qbm2x)
nlmrt class object: an1qbm2x
  name      coeff
b1              48    U
b2              1    M
b3          0.2159692
ssquares = 6206.102

```

Turning to the function-based nlfb,

```

> hobbs.res <- function(x){ # Hobbs weeds problem -- residual
+   if(length(x) != 3) stop("hobbs.res -- parameter vector n!=3")
+   y <- c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443, 38.558, 50.156, 62.948,
+     75.995, 91.972)
+   tt <- 1:12
+   res <- x[1]/(1+x[2]*exp(-x[3]*tt)) - y
+ }
> hobbs.jac <- function(x) { # Hobbs weeds problem -- Jacobian
+   jj <- matrix(0.0, 12, 3)
+   tt <- 1:12
+   yy <- exp(-x[3]*tt)
+   zz <- 1.0/(1+x[2]*yy)
+   jj[tt,1] <- zz
+   jj[tt,2] <- -x[1]*zz*zz*yy
+ }

```

```

+     jj[tt,3] <- x[1]*zz*zz*yy*x[2]*tt
+     return(jj)
+ }
> # Check unconstrained
> ans1 <- nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac)
> ans1
nlmrt class object: res$value
  name      coeff
b1      196.1863
b2      49.09164
b3       0.3135697
ssquares = 2.587277

> ## No jacobian - use internal approximation
> ans1n <- nlfb(start1, hobbs.res)
> ans1n
nlmrt class object: res$value
  name      coeff
b1      196.1863
b2      49.09164
b3       0.3135697
ssquares = 2.587277

> # Bounds -- infeasible start
> ans2i <- try(nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac,
+   lower=c(b1=0, b2=0, b3=0), upper=c(b1=500, b2=100, b3=.25)))
> ans2i
[1] "Error in nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, lower = c(b1 = 0, b2 = 0, : \n Infeasible start\n"
attr(,"class")
[1] "try-error"
attr(,"condition")
<simpleError in nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, lower = c(b1 = 0, b2 = 0,      b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25)
> # Bounds -- feasible start
> ans2f <- nlfb(startf1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac,
+   lower=c(b1=0, b2=0, b3=0), upper=c(b1=500, b2=100, b3=.25))
> ans2f
nlmrt class object: res$value
  name      coeff
b1          500 U
b2      87.94248
b3         0.25 U
ssquares = 29.99273

> # Mask b2
> ansm2 <- nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx=c(2))
> ansm2
nlmrt class object: res$value
  name      coeff
b1      50.40179
b2          1 M
b3      0.1986149
ssquares = 6181.193

> # Mask b3
> ansm3 <- nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx=c(3))
> ansm3
nlmrt class object: res$value
  name      coeff
b1      78.57085

```

```

b2          2293.947
b3           1      M
ssquares = 1031.011

> # Mask all -- should fail
> ansma <- try(nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx=c(3,1,2)))
> ansma
[1] "Error in nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx = c(3, 1, 2)) : \n All parameters are masked\n"
attr(,"class")
[1] "try-error"
attr(,"condition")
<simpleError in nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx = c(3, 1, 2)): All parameters are masked>

> # Bounds and mask
> ansmbm2 <- nlfb(startf1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx=c(2),
+               lower=c(0,0,0), upper=c(200, 60, .3))
> ansmbm2
nlmrt class object: res$value
  name      coeff
b1          50.40179
b2           1      M
b3          0.1986149
ssquares = 6181.193

> # Active bound
> ansmbm2x <- nlfb(startf1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx=c(2),
+               lower=c(0,0,0), upper=c(48, 60, .3))
> ansmbm2x
nlmrt class object: res$value
  name      coeff
b1           48      U
b2           1      M
b3          0.2159692
ssquares = 6206.102

```

The results match those of `nlxb()`

Finally, let us check the results above with `Rvmmmin` and `Rcgmin`. Note that this vignette cannot be created on systems that lack these codes.

```

> require(Rcgmin)
> require(Rvmmmin)
> hobbs.f <- function(x) {
+   res<-hobbs.res(x)
+   as.numeric(crossprod(res))
+ }
> hobbs.g <- function(x) {
+   res <- hobbs.res(x) # Probably already available
+   JJ <- hobbs.jac(x)
+   2.0*as.numeric(crossprod(JJ, res))
+ }
> # Check unconstrained
> a1cg <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g)
> a1cg
$par
      b1      b2      b3

```



```

196.1847464 49.0914730 0.3135706

$value
[1] 2.587277

$counts
[1] 375 125

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"

> a1vm <- Rvmmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g)
> a1vm

$par
      b1      b2      b3
196.1862624 49.0916395 0.3135697

$value
[1] 2.587277

$counts
[1] 215 55

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Converged"

$bdmsk
[1] 1 1 1

> ## No jacobian - use internal approximation
> a1cgn <- try(Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f))
function(x) {
  res<-hobbs.res(x)
  as.numeric(crossprod(res))
}

> a1cgn

$par
      b1      b2      b3
196.192918 49.092379 0.313566

$value
[1] 2.587277

$counts
[1] 540 187

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"

> a1vmn <- try(Rvmmmin(start1, hobbs.f))
> a1vmn

$par
      b1      b2      b3
196.1870677 49.0915614 0.3135689

$value
[1] 2.587277

```

```

$counts
[1] 170 47

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Converged"

$bdmsk
[1] 1 1 1

> # But
> grfwd <- function(par, userfn, fbase=NULL, eps=1.0e-7, ...) {
+   # Forward different gradient approximation
+   if (is.null(fbase)) fbase <- userfn(par, ...) # ensure we function value at par
+   df <- rep(NA, length(par))
+   teps <- eps * (abs(par) + eps)
+   for (i in 1:length(par)) {
+     dx <- par
+     dx[i] <- dx[i] + teps[i]
+     df[i] <- (userfn(dx, ...) - fbase)/teps[i]
+   }
+   df
+ }
> alvmn <- try(Rvmmin(start1, hobbs.f, gr="grfwd"))
> alvmn
[1] "Error in mygr(bvec, ...) : could not find function \"gr\"\\n"
attr(,"class")
[1] "try-error"
attr(,"condition")
<simpleError in mygr(bvec, ...): could not find function "gr">

> # Bounds -- infeasible start
> # Note: These codes move start to nearest bound
> a1cg2i <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g,
+   lower=c(b1=0, b2=0, b3=0), upper=c(b1=500, b2=100, b3=.25))
> a1cg2i

$par
      b1      b2      b3
500.00000 87.94248 0.25000

$value
[1] 29.99273

$counts
[1] 87 45

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"

$bdmsk
[1] -1 1 -1

```

```

> a1vm2i <- Rvmmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g,
+   lower=c(b1=0, b2=0, b3=0), upper=c(b1=500, b2=100, b3=.25))
> a1vm2i # Fails to get to solution!

$par
      b1      b2      b3
500.00000  87.94248  0.25000

$value
[1] 29.99273

$counts
[1] 924 169

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Converged"

$bdmsk
[1] 1 1 1

> # Bounds -- feasible start
> a1cg2f <- Rcgmin(startf1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g,
+   lower=c(b1=0, b2=0, b3=0), upper=c(b1=500, b2=100, b3=.25))
> a1cg2f

$par
      b1      b2      b3
500.00000  87.94248  0.25000

$value
[1] 29.99273

$counts
[1] 67 34

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"

$bdmsk
[1] -1 1 -1

> a1vm2f <- Rvmmmin(startf1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g,
+   lower=c(b1=0, b2=0, b3=0), upper=c(b1=500, b2=100, b3=.25))
> a1vm2f # Gets there, but only just!

$par
      b1      b2      b3
499.96458  87.93425  0.25000

$value
[1] 29.99373

$counts
[1] 3001 487

$convergence
[1] 1

$message
[1] "Too many function evaluations"

$bdmsk

```

```

[1] 1 1 -1
> # Mask b2
> a1cgm2 <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk=c(1,0,1))
> a1cgm2
$par
      b1      b2      b3
50.4017866 1.0000000 0.1986149

$value
[1] 6181.193

$counts
[1] 91 35

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"

$bdmsk
[1] 1 0 1
> alvmm2 <- Rvmmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk=c(1,0,1))
> alvmm2
$par
      b1      b2      b3
50.4017867 1.0000000 0.1986149

$value
[1] 6181.193

$counts
[1] 290 28

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Converged"

$bdmsk
[1] 1 0 1
> # Mask b3
> a1cgm3 <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk=c(1,1,0))
> a1cgm3
$par
      b1      b2      b3
78.5708 2293.9373 1.0000

$value
[1] 1031.011

$counts
[1] 172 71

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"

$bdmsk
[1] 1 1 0

```

```

> a1vmm3 <- Rvmmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk=c(1,1,0))
> a1vmm3
$par
      b1      b2      b3
78.57085 2293.94690  1.00000

$value
[1] 1031.011

$counts
[1] 88 30

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Converged"

$bdmsk
[1] 1 1 0

> # Mask all -- should fail
> a1cgm3 <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk=c(0,0,0))
> a1cgm3
$par
b1 b2 b3
1  1  1

$value
[1] 23520.58

$counts
[1] 1 1

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"

$bdmsk
[1] 0 0 0

> a1vmma <- Rvmmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk=c(0,0,0))
> a1vmma
$par
b1 b2 b3
1  1  1

$value
[1] 23520.58

$counts
[1] 1 1

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Converged"

$bdmsk
[1] 0 0 0

> # Bounds and mask
> ansmbm2 <- nlfb(startf1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx=c(2),

```

```

+       lower=c(0,0,0), upper=c(200, 60, .3))
> ansmbm2
nlmrt class object: res$value
  name      coeff
b1      50.40179
b2              1    M
b3      0.1986149
ssquares = 6181.193

> a1cgbm2 <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk=c(1,0,1),
+       lower=c(0,0,0), upper=c(200, 60, .3))
> a1cgbm2
$par
      b1      b2      b3
50.4017859 1.0000000 0.1986149

$value
[1] 6181.193

$counts
[1] 76 27

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"

$bdmsk
[1] 1 0 1

> a1vmbm2 <- Rvmmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk=c(1,0,1),
+       lower=c(0,0,0), upper=c(200, 60, .3))
> a1vmbm2
$par
      b1      b2      b3
50.4017867 1.0000000 0.1986149

$value
[1] 6181.193

$counts
[1] 75 13

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Converged"

$bdmsk
[1] 1 0 1

> # Active bound
> a1cgm2x <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk=c(1,0,1),
+       lower=c(0,0,0), upper=c(48, 60, .3))
> a1cgm2x
$par
      b1      b2      b3
48.0000000 1.0000000 0.2159692

$value
[1] 6206.102

```

```

$counts
[1] 32 13

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"

$bdmsk
[1] -1 0 1

> a1vmm2x <- Rvmmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk=c(1,0,1),
+                   lower=c(0,0,0), upper=c(48, 60, .3))
> a1vmm2x

$par
      b1      b2      b3
48.0000000 1.0000000 0.2159692

$value
[1] 6206.102

$counts
[1] 74 46

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "Converged"

$bdmsk
[1] 1 0 1

```

6 Brief example of minpack.lm

Recently Kate Mullen provided some capability for the package `minpack.lm` to include bounds constraints. I am particularly happy that this effort is proceeding, as there are significant differences in how `minpack.lm` and `nlmrt` are built and implemented. They can be expected to have different performance characteristics on different problems. A lively dialogue between developers, and the opportunity to compare and check results can only improve the tools.

The examples below are a very quick attempt to show how to run the Ratkowsky-Huet problem with `nls.lm` from `minpack.lm`.

```

> require(minpack.lm)
> anlslm <- nls.lm(ones, lower=rep(-1000,4), upper=rep(1000,4), jres, jjac, yield=pastured)
> cat("anlslm from ones\n")
anlslm from ones
> print(strwrap(anlslm))
[1] "c(NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN)"
[2] "c(NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN)"
[3] "NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN)"
[4] "c(NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN)"
[5] "4"
[6] "The cosine of the angle between 'fvec' and any column"
[7] "of the Jacobian is at most 'gtol' in absolute value."

```

```

[8] "list(t1 = 3, t2 = 2.3723939879224e-11, t3 ="
[9] "5.8039519205899e-10, t4 = 1.27525858056086e-09)"
[10] "3"
[11] "c(17533.3402000004, 16864.5616372991, NaN,"
[12] "3.45845952088873e-323)"
[13] "NaN"

> anslslmh <- nls.lm(huetstart, lower=rep(-1000,4), upper=rep(1000,4), jres, jjac, yield=pa
> cat("anslslmh from huetstart\n")
anslslmh from huetstart

> print(strwrap(anslslmh))
[1] "c(69.9551973916736, 61.6814877170941,"
[2] "-9.20891880263443, 2.37781455978467)"
[3] "c(9, -4.54037977686007, 105.318033221555,"
[4] "403.043210394646, -4.54037977686007,"
[5] "3.51002837648689, -39.5314537948583,"
[6] "-137.559566823766, 105.318033221555,"
[7] "-39.5314537948583, 1668.11894086464,"
[8] "6495.67702199831, 403.043210394646,"
[9] "-137.559566823766, 6495.67702199831,"
[10] "25481.4530263827)"
[11] "c(0.480682793156291, 0.669303022602289,"
[12] "-2.28431914156848, 0.843754801653787,"
[13] "0.734587578832198, 0.0665510313004489,"
[14] "-0.985814877917491, -0.0250630130722556,"
[15] "0.500317790294602)"
[16] "1"
[17] "Relative error in the sum of squares is at most"
[18] "'ftol'."
[19] "list(t1 = 3, t2 = 2.35105755434962, t3 ="
[20] "231.250186433367, t4 = 834.778914353851)"
[21] "42"
[22] "c(13386.9099465603, 13365.3097414383,"
[23] "13351.1970260154, 13321.6478455192, 13260.1135652244,"
[24] "13133.6391318145, 12877.8542053848, 12373.5432344283,"
[25] "11428.8257706578, 9832.87890178625, 7138.12187613237,"
[26] "3904.51162830831, 2286.64875980737, 1978.18149980306,"
[27] "1620.89081508973, 1140.58638304326, 775.173148616758,"
[28] "635.256627921479, 383.73614705125, 309.341249993346,"
[29] "219.735856060244, 177.398738179149, 156.718991828473,"
[30] "135.51359456819, 93.4016394568234, 72.8219383036211,"
[31] "66.3315609834918, 56.2809616213409, 54.9453021619838,"
[32] "53.6227655715768, 51.9760950696957, 50.1418078879665,"
[33] "48.1307021647518, 44.7097757109306, 42.8838792615115,"
[34] "32.3474231559263, 26.5253835687508, 15.352821554109,"
[35] "14.7215507012923, 8.37980617628203, 8.37589765770215,"
[36] "8.3758836534811, 8.37588355972578)"
[37] "8.37588355972578"

```

References

- [1] Timur V. Elzhov, Katharine M. Mullen, Andrej-Nikolai Spiess, and Ben Bolker, *minpack.lm: R interface to the levenberg-marquardt nonlinear least-squares algorithm found in minpack, plus support for bounds*, R Project for Statistical Computing, 2012, R package version 1.1-6.
- [2] S. (Sylvie) Huet et al., *Statistical tools for nonlinear regression: a practical guide with S-PLUS examples*, Springer series in statistics, 1996.
- [3] J. J. Moré, B. S. Garbow, and K. E. Hillstom, *ANL-80-74, User Guide for MINPACK-1*, Tech. report, 1980.

- [4] J.~C. Nash, *Compact numerical methods for computers : linear algebra and function minimisation*, Hilger, Bristol :, 1979 (English).
- [5] David~A. Ratkowsky, *Nonlinear regression modeling: A unified practical approach*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York and Basel, 1983.